

PERCHLOROETHYLENE DRY CLEANERS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

| INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) | COMPLAINT ARMS COMP | /DISCOVERY (CI) | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| AIRS ID#: 0112218 DATE: | ARRIVE: | DEPART: | | | | | |
| FACILITY NAME: ONE PRICE DRY CLEANER | S | | | | | | |
| FACILITY LOCATION: 7268 W OAKLAN | D PARK BLVD | | | | | | |
| LAUDERHILL 33 | 3313-1041 | | | | | | |
| OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Email: fambc09@gmail.com CONTACT NAME: LOUIS CUESTAS Email: fambc09@gmail.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 4/14/2012 / 4/14/ (effective date) (end date) | 2017 | PHONE: (954)747-8860 Mobile: (954)274-6478 PHONE: (954)747-8860 Mobile: (954)274-6478 | | | | | |
| PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE | | | | | | | |
| PART II: FACILITY CLASSIFICATION (check only one box in A) | le 62-213.300 FAC | | | | | | |
| A. 1. Existing small area source dry-to-dry only, x < 140 gal/yr transfer only, x < 200 gal/yr both types, x < 140 gal/yr (constructed before 12/9/91) 3. Existing large area source dry-to-dry only, 140 ≤ x ≤ 2,100 gal/yr transfer only, 200 ≤ x ≤ 1,800 gal/yr both types, 140 ≤ x ≤ 1,800 gal/yr (constructed before 12/9/91) 5. Ineligible for General Permit drop store/out of business/petroleum / facility exceeds above limits | transfer onl both types, (constructe 4. New large dry-to-dry of transfer onl both types, | only, x < 140 gal/yr ly, x < 200 gal/yr x < 140 gal/yr d on or after 12/9/91) | | | | | |
| B. The sum of the volume of all perchloroethy cleaning facility was 30.00 gallons. | lene (perc) purchases ma | ade in each of the previous 12 months by this dry | | | | | |

| PART III: GENERAL CONTROL REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC | | | check E | | nly o | | | |
|--|-------------|---------|---------|----|-------------|-----|--|--|
| 1. Is all perc, and wastes containing perc, in tightly sealed & impervious containers? | \boxtimes | Yes | I | No | | N/A | | |
| 2. Are all perc. containers leak free ? | \boxtimes | Yes | | No | | N/A | | |
| 3. Are all machine doors kept closed and secured except during loading/unloading? | | Yes | | No | | | | |
| 4. Are cartridge filters d rained in their housing or in sealed containers for at least 24 hours prior to disposal? | \boxtimes | Yes | I | No | | N/A | | |
| 5. Has each dry cleaning system installed after December 21, 2005 at an area source, routed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream contained within each dry cleaning machine through a refrigerated condenser and passed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream from inside the dry cleaning machine drum through a non-vented carbon adsorber or equivalent control device immediately before the door of the dry cleaning machine is opened? The carbon adsorber must be desorbed in accordance with manufacturer's instructions. | | Yes | I | No | \boxtimes | N/A | | |
| 6. Is solvent-to-carbon ratios and steam pressure for carbon adsorber beds maintain according to the manufacturer's specifications? | | Yes | I | No | \boxtimes | N/A | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| PART IV: <u>PROCESS VENT CONTROLS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (Refer to Part II-A.14. Classification: page <u>1</u> of <u>4</u> , this form) | | | | | | | | |
| If the f acility classification is an <u>existing small area source</u> , no controls are required. P | roce | ed to P | Part V. | | | | | |
| 2. If the facility classification is a <u>new small area source</u> , the machine should be equipped with a refrigerated condenser. Complete section A. below. | | | | | | | | |
| 3. If the fa cility classification is an <u>existing large area source</u> , the machine should be equipped with either a refrigerated condenser or a carbon adsorber. Complete both sections A and B below. Carbon adsorber must have been installed prior to September 22, 1993 | | | | | | | | |
| 4. If the facility classification is a <u>new large area source</u> , the machine should be equipped with a refrigerated condenser. Complete both sections A and B below. | | | | | | | | |
| A. Has the responsible official of all existing large area & new sources: | | | check E | | | | | |
| 1. Equipped all machines with the appropriate vent controls? | \boxtimes | Yes | I | No | | | | |
| 2. Equipped dry-to-dry machines with a closed-loop vapor venting system? | \boxtimes | Yes | I | No | | N/A | | |
| 3. Equipped the condenser with a diverter valve so airflow will be directed away from the condenser upon opening the door? | \boxtimes | Yes | I | No | | N/A | | |
| 4. Measured and recorded the temperature of the outlet exhaust stream of a refrigerated condenser on a weekly basis? | | Yes | I | No | \boxtimes | N/A | | |
| 5. Repaired or adjusted the equipment within 24 hours if the exhaust temperature of the condenser exceeded 45° F? | \boxtimes | Yes | I | No | | N/A | | |
| 6. Conducted all temperature monitoring after an appropriate cool-down period and after verifying that the coolant had been completely charged? | \boxtimes | Yes | I | No | | | | |

| PA | ART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued) | | | | | | |
|-------------------|--|-------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| В. | For all existing large or new large area sources: Is the exhaust temperature on the outlet side of the condenser located on dry-to-dry, reclaimer, and dryer machines measured and recorded on a weekly basis? | | Yes | □ N | lo | | |
| 2. | Is the washer exhaus t temperature at the condenser inlet and outlet measured and recorded weekly? | | Yes | _ | Vo | _ | N/A |
| | a) Is the temperature differential equal to, or greater than 20° F? | Ш | Yes | ∐N | Ю | | N/A |
| 3. | Is the perc concentration in the exhaust stream inlet and outlet measured weekly at the end of the final drying cycle while the machine is venting to the adsorber, if machines are equipped exclusively with a carbon adsorber? | | Yes | □ N | lo | | N/A |
| | a) Is the perc concentration equal to, or less than 100 ppm? | | Yes | □ N | lo | | N/A |
| 4. | Is the sampling port on the carbon adsorber exhaust for measuring perc concentrations at least 8 duct diameters downstream of any bend, contraction, or expansion; is at least 2 duct diameters upstream from any bend, contraction, or expansion; and downstream from no other inlet? | | Yes | □ N | Ю | | N/A |
| 5. | Are transfer machines equipped (dryers, reclaimers, and washers) with individual condenser coils? | | Yes | □ N | lo | | N/A |
| | | | | | | | 1 |
| 6. | Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times? | | Yes | □ N | lo . | | N/A |
| 6. | Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times? | | Yes | □ N | Ю | | N/A |
| 6. | Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times? | | Yes | □ N | lo | | N/A |
| | Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times? | | (1 | check 🗹 | 1 o | nly o | ne |
| PA | | | (1 | check ✓ x for each | 1 o | nly o | ne |
| P A | ART V: <u>RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC | | (o bo | check ✓ x for eac | o: | nly o | ne |
| 1. 2. | ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ———————————————————————————————————— | | (bo Yes | check ✓ x for eac | o: ch qu | nly o | ne |
| 1. 2. | ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ———————————————————————————————————— | \boxtimes | (bo Yes | check ✓ x for eac | o: ch qu No | nly o | ne |
| 1. 2. | ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ———————————————————————————————————— | \boxtimes | yes Yes | check 🔽 x for eac | o: ch qu Io Io | nly only only only only only only only o | ne n) |
| 1. 2. 3. | ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ———————————————————————————————————— | | Yes Yes Yes | check 🔽 x for each | och qu lo lo lo | enly of testion | ne n) N/A |
| 1. 2. 3. | ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ———————————————————————————————————— | | Yes Yes Yes | check 🔯 x for each | Z o. ch qu do | nly onestion | ne n) N/A |
| 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. | ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ———————————————————————————————————— | | Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes | check 🔽 x for each | I o | nly onestion | ne n) N/A N/A N/A |
| 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. | ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ———————————————————————————————————— | | Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes | check 🔽 x for each | I o | only onestion | ne n) N/A N/A N/A |
| 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. | ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ———————————————————————————————————— | | Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes | check 🔯 x for each | Z oz | inly or its state of the state | ne n) N/A N/A N/A |

| PA | ART VI: <u>LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC | | (check 🗹 | only one | | |
|----|---|---------------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| 1. | What type of leak detection equipment is used to detect leaks? | b | ox for each | question) | | |
| | ☐ Halogenated hydrocarbon detector ☐ PCE gas analyzer ☐ None used | | | | | |
| 2. | Is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer operated according to | | | | | |
| | the manufacturer's instructions (manual was available and RO could demonstrate | | | | | |
| | procedure) ? | Yes | ☐ No | | | |
| 3. | For major sources is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer | | | | | |
| | operated according to EPA Method 21 ? | Yes | ☐ No | N/A | | |
| 4. | Is the vapor leak inspection conducted by placing the probe inlet at the surface of | | | | | |
| | each component interface where leakage could occur and moving it slowly along | | | | | |
| | the interface periphery? \boxtimes | Yes | ☐ No | | | |
| 5. | Is the PCE gas analyzer a flame ionization detector, photo ionization detector, or | | | | | |
| | infrared analyzer capable of detecting vapor concentrations of PCE of 25 parts per | | | | | |
| | million by volume (based on documented specifications) ? | Yes | ☐ No | N/A | | |
| 6. | Is the <u>halogenated hydrocarbon detector</u> capable of detecting vapor concentrations | | | | | |
| | of PCE of 25 parts per million by volume (based on documented specifications) and | | | | | |
| | indicating a concentration of 25 parts per million by volume or greater by emitting | | | | | |
| | an audible or visual signal that varies as the concentration changes? 🖂 | Yes | ☐ No | N/A | | |
| 7. | Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected weekly for perceptible leaks (sight, sm | nell or | touch) while | le the | | |
| | system is in operation (§63.322(k))? | | | | | |
| | (Inspection with a halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer also fulfills the requirement for insp | pection | of perceptib | le leaks) | | |
| | b) Door gaskets and seating Yes No N/A h) Stills Y | | No No No No No No No | N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A | | |
| 8. | Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected $\underline{monthly}$ for $\underline{vapor\ leaks}$ using a halogen $\underline{monthly}$ for $\underline{monthly}$ f | enated | hydrocarbo | on detector | | |
| | or PCE gas analyzer while the system is in operation? (Any inspection conducted according to this parag | raph si | hall satisfy th | ne | | |
| | requirements to conduct an inspection for perceptible leaks under §63.322(k) or (l)) | | | | | |
| | b) Door gaskets and seating Yes No N/A N/A N/A Stills Yes Yes No N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Yes | Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes | □ No□ No□ No□ No□ No | N/AN/AN/AN/AN/AN/A | | |

| PART VI: LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued) | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 9. What evidence suggests that leak checks are performed as re | <u>_</u> | | | | | |
| Elizabeth F.Susky | 4/25/2012 | | | | | |
| Inspector's Name (Please Print) | Date of Inspection | | | | | |
| | 4/25/2013 | | | | | |
| Inspector's Signature | Approximate Date of Next Inspection | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

COMMENTS: In a compliance inspection conducted on 4/25/2012, AQD staff (E.Susky) observed operations at One Price Dry Cleaners. The owner (Luis Cuestas) and the manager (Juan Bastillo) were present for the inspection. This facility is still operating under the old owner (Jast, Inc.) and the hazardous material license needs to be changed to FAMBCo, Inc. The facility also needs to obtain their FDEP general permit for Perchloroethylene Dry Cleaners. Housekeeping was good and the drums of hazardous materials are stored in secondary containment. The REMA vacuum was also observed to be in secondary containment.